

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,703	01/26/2004	W. Thomas Novak	6500-65537	1405
24197	7590 03/10/2006		EXAMINER	
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP 121 SW SALMON STREET			SHAFER, RICKY D	
SUITE 1600	MON STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PORTLAND,	OR 97204		2872	
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
	10/765,703	NOVAK, W. THOMAS	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ricky D. Shafer	2872	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF	DIVIQUET TO EVEIDE AN	IONTH(S) OR THIRTY (20) DAVE	
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR tute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed VTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	December 2005		
	his action is non-final.		
3)⊠ Since this application is in condition for allow		ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	•	•	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-93</u> is/are pending in the application	on		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-38,42,43 and 4</u>		consideration.	
5) Claim(s) <u>1,3-9,11,13,17,39-41 and 44-46</u> is/			•
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		·	
7) Claim(s) 2,10,12 and 14-16 is/are objected t	O.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 January 2004</u> is/a		bliected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· ·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	·	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not	received.	
. Attachment(a)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🖂 Intonious	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>01/26/04 & 6/10/04</u>. 	5) Notice of I 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/765,703

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election of invention I (claims 2 and 39-41) in the reply filed on 12/05/2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Please be advised that the grouping of claims 47-50, 57 and 59-61 along with group III in the Office action mailed on 11/03/2005 was a typographic error in that the above mentioned claims clearly represent their own grouping (VII) as being drawn to a separate subcombination which would be classified in class 359, subclass 358 and group III would be classified in class 359, subclass 846.

- 2. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:
 - 3. Claims 2, 10, 12 and 14-16 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 2, line 3, the language "the force controllers are" should be changed to read --the at least one force controller is--.

In claim 10, line 1, the language "each" should be inserted after "wherein:.

In claim 12, line 1, the language "the respective" should be changed to read --a respective--.

In claim 14, line 2, the language --a-- should be inserted after "by".

In claims 14, 15 and 16, line 2, the language "controllers" should be changed to read --controller--.

In claims 15 and 16, line 2, the language "the" should be changed to read --a--. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,703

Art Unit: 2872

- 4. Claims 1, 3-9, 11, 13, 17, 39-41 and 44-46 are allowed.
- 5. This application contains claims 18-38, 42, 43 and 47-93 drawn to an invention nonelected invention and/or species. A complete reply to this communication must include the cancellation of the nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144). See MPEP § 821.01.
- 6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art of record does not teach or fairly suggest an optical element for use in an adaptive-optical system comprising a deformable optical surface; an array of force devices coupled to the optical surface and configured to exert a respective force that imparts a respective localized deformation of the optical surface which collectively forms the optical surface into a desired shape, the force devices being arranged into sets or groups, each force device being a member of a designated first set or group and a designated second set or group different from the first set or group; at least one force controller coupled to the force devices of a respective first set or group and configured to cause the force devices of the respective first set or group to apply respective forces to the respective loci of the optical surface; and at least one braking controller coupled to the force devices of a respective second set or group and configured to prevent, when activated, a change in force exerted by the force devices of the respective second set or group, as recited in claims 1, 39 and 44.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/765,703

Art Unit: 2872

Page 4

7. Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte

Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS**

from the mailing date of this letter.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ricky D. Shafer whose telephone number is (571) 272-2320.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RDS

March 05, 2006,

RICKY D. SHAFER
PATENT EXAMINER